

**SECRET****SECURITY INFORMATION**

718 CWULE

SUBJECT: Questions for Presentation to [ ]

TO: London, England

Info: [ ]

1. Per reference a, it is requested that you secure answers to the following questions from [ ]

a. Are there any basic principles of the BGFIEND/VALUABLE relationship that [ ] feels require amendment?

b. Does S.I.S. accept the OPC view that NCFA should be broadened to include Prince Gjon Markagjoni and/or Ali Kalissura either as individuals or as representatives of their respective political groups?

c. Does S.I.S. propose to assist in the reorganization of NCFA even if such a move would cause the withdrawal of the Balli Kombetar?

d. Is S.I.S. now, or do they intend in the future to negotiate with Prince Gjon Markagjoni, either as an individual or as a BKI leader?

e. Is the British relationship with the Italian Service such that a basis for operational coordination exists?

f. For what reasons do the British favor the Balli Kombetar both as members of NCFA and as an independent political party? Is S.I.S. providing the Balli Kombetar enough financial assistance as compensation for operational support to constitute political backing?

g. Are the British subsidizing the Balli Kombetar newspaper and/or newsletter?

h. BGFIEND advocates NCFA engagement in such activities as civil affairs planning. At the European Union meeting, the British emphasized the necessity for such planning on the part of exile groups. In view of the above can we expect the British to continue to block planning activities on the part of NCFA?

i. Is it the

**SECRET**

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3828  
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 2007

**SECRET**

- 2 -

**SECURITY INFORMATION**

i. Is it the present British view that NCFA or any faction thereof represents a government in exile?

j. Are the British engaged in negotiations with the Yugoslavs re Albania?

k. Is [ ] willing to direct resumption of close BGFEND/VALUABLE liaison in the field?

2. With reference to [ ] 8940, please ask [ ] to elaborate on his complaint concerning the overactivism [ ] as well as his decision to give [ ] foreign office status. [ ]

3. Although [ ] may be unwilling to give direct replies to the above questions, it should be emphasized to him that forthright answers are vital to the continuance of BGFEND/VALUABLE cooperation. Furthermore, they will provide a partial basis for the impending [ ] discussions.

Sgd. [ ] [ ]

12 February 1952

**SECRET**

**TOP SECRET**

AGENDA FOR FIEND/VALUABLE TALKS IN LONDON

- (a) Agree interpretation of 1952 Foreign Office State Department directives.
- (b) Discuss FIEND/VALUABLE programme in light of (a) above:-
  - 1. Support of Committee
  - 2. Propaganda
  - 3. Operations
- (c) CIA/SS attitude to individual political parties both within and outside the Committee.
- (d) Broadening of Committee and formation of sub-committee.
- (e) Operational co-ordination in Athens.
- (f) Division of responsibility for leaflet and article drops; proposed system for numbering leaflets.
- (g) FIEND/VALUABLE attitude toward Italians and position of Rome representatives.
- (h) Progress report CIA on Rome defection.
- (i) Long term planning and considerations.
- (j) Discussion of any mutual point of divergence.

( ) proposed agenda for London Meetings)

5th March 1952.

**TOP SECRET**

Para. a. Are there any basic principles of the FIEND/VALUABLE  
relationship that Colonel Perkins feels require amendment?

Letter from: [ ] to [ ]

1148-LEWU. - 414

] 18 Jan 52. *filed 414.*

[ ] 912 (IN-19245). - 414

[ ] 2014 (IN-23402). - 414

- Para. b. Does S.I.S. accept the OPC view that NCFA should be broadened to include Prince Gjon Markagjoni and/or Ali Klissura either as individuals or as representatives of their respective political groups?
- Para. c. Does S.I.S. propose to assist in the reorganization of NCFA even if such a move would cause the withdrawal of the Balli Kombetar?
- Para. d. Is S.I.S. now, or do they intend in the future to negotiate with Prince Gjon Markagjoni, either as an individual or as a BKI leader?

[ ] 776 (IN-16940). - 211  
[ ] 1867 (IN-19440). - 211  
175-CRIAG. - *filed 211.*  
[ ] 834 (IN-18589). - 211  
[ ] 1944 (IN-21417). - 211  
[ ] 741 (IN-22517).

Para. e. Is the British relationship with the Italian Service  
such that a basis for operational coordination exists?

[ ] 1617 (IN-13086). - 420  
[ ] 1472 (IN-15308). - 420  
WASH. 24856 (OUT-52988). - 420  
[ ] 1544 (IN-17134). 480  
1112-CLEWU. - 420

- Para. f. For what reasons do the British favor the Balli Kombetar both as members of NCFA and as an independent political party? Is S.I.S. providing the Balli Kombetar enough financial assistance as compensation for operational support to constitute political backing?
- Para. g. Are the British subsidizing the Balli Kombetar newspaper and/or newsletter?

2025-CRIWU.-266.7

Memorandum of Conversation, [

6 Feb 52. -414

] and [

]



Para. h. BGFIEND advocates NCFA engagement in such activities as civil affairs planning. At the European Union meeting, the British emphasized the necessity for such planning on the part of exile groups. In view of the above can we expect the British to continue to block planning activities on the part of NCFA?

[ ] 1730. (IN-15525). - 414



Para. i. Is it the present British view that NCFA or any faction thereof represents a government-in-exile?

[ ] 1754 (IN-16413). -211

Para. j. Are the British engaged in negotiations with the  
Yugoslavs re Albania?

[ ] 1616 (IN-13085). } ~~2155~~ 273  
1159-CLEWU.

Para. k. Is [ ] willing to direct resumption of close  
BGFIEND/VALUABLE liaison in the field?

[ ] -1471 (IN-15373). -414  
Memorandum of Conversation [ ] and  
[ ] 6 Feb 52, attached under Paras. f. and g.